









Fig. 7. Settlement versus non-anchored and anchored foundation with different inclination of anchors.

#### IV. CONCLUSION

Based on results obtained from the numerical study carried out on circular foundation with anchored with different inclination in sand, the following conclusions are drawn:

Presence of anchor enhances the uplift bearing capacity of foundation and its efficiency depends on anchor inclination, significantly. Bearing capacity of foundation increases by increasing the slope of anchors up to  $m=2$  (slope: 2 vertical – 1 horizontal) and after this threshold decreases. However, the strength of anchored foundations is more than the non-anchored cases.

Among the anchored foundations, vertical and  $m=2$  orientation of anchor have minimum and maximum effect on increasing uplift bearing capacity, respectively.

Anchors have not significant effect on the improvement of bearing capacity of foundation under the downward loads. However, the anchoring decreases the foundation settlements, prominently. By increasing the slope of anchor up to  $m=1$  (1:1), settlement decreases and after this threshold increases.

#### REFERENCES

[1] J. Han and S.L. Ye, "A field study on the behavior of micropiles in clay under compression or tension," Canadian Geotechnical Journal, 2006a, vol. 43, pp. 19-29.

[2] J. Han and S.L. Ye, "A field study on the behavior of a foundation underpinned by micropiles," Canadian Geotechnical Journal, 2006b, vol. 43, pp. 30-42.

[3] J. Ed. Lehtonen, "Underpinning – Nordic practice," Course material from Turku University of Applied Sciences, 2009, 46.

[4] J.A. Mason, and F.H. Kulhawy, "Notes on improvement and underpinning of foundations of historic structures with reticulated micropiles," Proceedings of the 2nd International Workshop on Micropiles, Ube., 1999.

[5] S. Thorburn, Introduction In Underpinning and Retention (ed. Thorburn, S. and Littlejohn, G.S.), Blackie Academic & Professional, 1993.

[6] D.A. Bruce, Insitu earth reinforcing by soil nailing. In Underpinning and Retention (ed. Thorburn, S. and Littlejohn, G.S.) Blackie Academic & Professional, 1993.

[7] D.A. Bruce, and I. Juran, Drilled and grouted micropiles. US. Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration reports No. FHWA-RD-96-016, 1997.

[8] R.A. Gould, P.R. Bedell, and J.G. Muckle, "Construction over organic soils in an urban environment: four case histories," Canadian Geotechnical Journal, 2002, vol. 39, pp. 345-356.

[9] H.A. Perko, "Underpinning and shoring for underground MRI Research Facility at Ohio State University," Proceedings of the Spec. Sem. "Underground Construction in Urban Environments" ASCE Metropolitan Section Geotechnical Group, Geo-Institute of ASCE, 2005.

[10] Federal Highway Administration, Ground Anchors and Anchored systems, Report No. FHWA-IF-99-015, United States Department of Transportation, 1999.

[11] F.H. Kulhawy, "Uplift Behavior of Shallow Soil Anchors - An Overview," Proceedings on the Uplift Behavior of Anchor Foundations in Soil," ASCE, Detroit, Michigan, 1985, pp. 1-25.

[12] H.S. Saeedy, "Stability of circular vertical earth anchors," Canadian Geotechnical Journal, 1987, vol. 24, pp. 452-456.

[13] E.A. Dickin, and M. Laman, "Uplift response of strip anchors in cohesionless soil," Advances in Engineering Software, 2007, vol. 38, pp. 618-625.

[14] V. N. Khatri, and J. Kumar, "Effect of anchor width on pullout capacity of strip anchors in sand," Canadian Geotechnical Journal, 2011, vol. 48, No. 3, pp. 511-517.

[15] A. K. Bera, and U. Banerjee, "Uplift capacity of a model bell-shaped anchor embedded in sand," International Journal of Geotechnical Engineering, 2013, vol. 7, No. 1, pp. 84-90.

[16] J. E. Bowels, Foundation Analysis and Design, fifth edition, The Mc Graw-Hill, 1998.

[17] A.R. Jumkis, Soil Mechanics, East-West Press Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi, 1967.

[18] S. Saran, and P.P. Rao, "Uplift behavior of horizontal plate anchors with geosynthetics," India Geotechnical Journal, 2002, vol. 32, pp. 235-246.